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## Quantum groups and Crystal Bases

- Quantum groups (Drinfeld, Jimbo) are deformations (in a suitable category) of Lie groups.
- Crystal Bases were introduced by Kashiwara in connection with quantum groups.


## From Groups to Quantum Groups

- Lie groups do not have deformations in their own category, so one works in the category of Hopf algebras.
If $G$ is a Lie group, we have maps:

diagonal: $\quad \delta: G \longrightarrow G \times G$
satisfying the associative laws and a compatibility property

rightmost vertical map:

$$
(x, y, z, w) \mapsto(m(x, z), m(y, w))
$$

The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ is a functor from groups to $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. So $U=U(\mathfrak{g})$ becomes an algebra and a co-algebra, with associative maps

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { multiplication: } & m: U \otimes U \longrightarrow U \\
\text { diagonal: } & \delta: U \longrightarrow U \otimes U
\end{array}
$$

and a compatibility that makes it a Hopf algebra.

## Deformation

- The deformation (Drinfeld, Jimbo) $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra depending on a parameter $q \in \mathbb{C}$.
- If $q=1$ it is $U$.
- $\quad q \rightarrow 1$ is like classical limit $\hbar \longrightarrow 0$ of quantum mechanics.


## Representations

- The irreducible modules of $G$ or $U$ are the same as the irreducible modules of $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\pi: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a representation, $V$ is a module for $U$ and also for $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $q$.
- However $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $V_{1} \otimes V_{2}$ (two modules) varies with $q$.

The significance of the comultiplication is that it determines a multiplicative structure on the tensor category of representations.

- If $V$ is a $G$-module it is a $U$-module.
- If $V_{1}, V_{2}$ are modules then $V_{1} \otimes V_{2}$ is too. Comultiplication $\delta: U \longrightarrow U \otimes U$ implements this for the Hopf algebra $U$.
- For $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ comult $\delta$ is required for this. (Group is gone.)
- The Hopf algebra $U$ is cocommutative - a property it inherits from the diagonal map:

- The deformation $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ is no longer cocommutative.


## Highest Weight Modules (Weyl)

Let $G$ be a semisimple complex Lie group of rank $r$. Let $T$ be a maximal torus. Then $X^{*}(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r}$.

- Elements of $X^{*}(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ are called weights
- $\mathbb{R} \otimes X^{*}(T) \cong \mathbb{R}^{r}$ has a fundamental domain $\mathcal{C}^{+}$for the Weyl group $W$ called the positive Weyl chamber.
- If $(\pi, V)$ is a representation then restricting to $T$, the module $V$ decomposes into a direct sum of weight eigenspaces $V(\mu)$ with multiplicity $m(\mu)$ for weight $\mu$.
- There is a unique highest weight $\lambda$ wrt partial order. We have $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^{+}$and $m(\lambda)=1$.
- $V \longleftrightarrow \lambda$ gives a bijection between irreducible representations and weights $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{C}^{+}$.

| - | Here are the weights of an irreducible $V$ for $G=\mathrm{SL}_{3}$, showing multiplicities and the pos. Weyl chamber. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - $m(\mu)=1$ <br> - $m(\mu)=2$ |
|  | $\searrow \mathcal{C}^{+}$ |
|  | Legend |

## Root Operators

Let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}$ be the simple positive roots. For each there is a pair of root operators in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset U$ :
$E_{i}$ corresponding to $\alpha_{i}$,
$F_{i}$ corresponding to $-\alpha_{i}$.
For example if $G=\operatorname{SL}(3)$ :

$$
E_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), E_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), F_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), F_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- The root operators shift the weight. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{i}: V(\mu) \longrightarrow V\left(\mu+\alpha_{i}\right) \\
& F_{i}: V(\mu) \longrightarrow V\left(\mu-\alpha_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



The root operator $E_{1}$ maps the 2 -dimensional vector space $V(\mu)$ into $V\left(\mu+\alpha_{1}\right)$, and $E_{2}$ maps $V(\mu) \longrightarrow V\left(\mu+\alpha_{2}\right)$.

For pictorial purposes associate a color with each root operator.

## Crystalization (Kashiwara)

It is not actually possible to take $q=0$ in the $\operatorname{Hopf}$ algebra $U_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$.

- In the limit $q \longrightarrow 0$ the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{g}$ breaks down.
- Still $E_{i}$ and $F_{i}$ can be continued to $q=0$.
- Their effect beomes very simple.
- It is possible to choose a crystal basis $v_{i}$ such that In the limit $q=0$ : each $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ or $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ takes a basis element to another basis element or 0 .
- The action of the $E_{i}$ and $F_{i}$ on the crystal basis can be illustrated very simply by giving the crystal graph $\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda}$.
- Existence proofs were given by Kashiwara, Kashiwara and Nakashima, Lusztig and Littelmann (path model).


Advertisement: SAGE 3.0 has Support for Crystals !

## Weyl Group Multiple Dirichlet Series

Given a root system $\Phi$ and a field $F$ containing the $n$-th roots of unity, we may (always or often) construct a family of multiple Dirichlet series

$$
\sum_{c_{i}} H\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r} ; m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{N}_{i}^{-2 s_{i}}
$$

having analytic continuation and functional equations in the $s_{i}$.

- Here $c_{i}$ are ideals of $S$-integers $\mathfrak{o}_{S}$ (a PID for suitable $S$ ).
- The coefficients are twisted multiplicative. Let $\left(\frac{*}{*}\right)$ be the $n$-th power residue symbol. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\prod C_{i}, \Pi C_{i}^{\prime}\right)=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{H\left(C_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, C_{r} C_{r}^{\prime}, m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)}{H\left(C_{1}, \cdots, C_{r}, m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right) H\left(C_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, C_{r}^{\prime}, m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)}= \\
& \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\frac{C_{i}}{C_{i}^{\prime}}\right)^{\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{i}^{\prime}}{C_{i}}\right)^{\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|^{2}} \prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}^{\prime}}\right)^{2\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}\left(\frac{C_{i}^{\prime}}{C_{j}}\right)^{2\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $\operatorname{gcd}\left(C_{1} \cdots C_{r}, m_{1}^{\prime} \cdots m_{r}^{\prime}\right)=1$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H\left(C_{1}, \cdots, C_{r} ; m_{1} m_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, m_{r} m_{r}^{\prime}\right)= \\
\left(\frac{m_{1}^{\prime}}{C_{1}}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(\frac{m_{r}^{\prime}}{C_{r}}\right)^{-1} H\left(C_{1}, \cdots C_{r} ; m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

- These rules reduce the specification if $H$ 's to the case $C_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ are all powers of the same prime.
- If $n$ is large a satisfactory theory is in place (Brubaker, Bump and Friedberg)
- If $n$ is small the story is complex and interesting and under development (Brubaker, Bump, Chinta, Friedberg and Gunnells). This is the unstable case.


## Three Approaches

There are about 3 approaches to defining the functions $H$. As noted above, we only need to define the $p$-part

$$
H\left(p^{k_{1}}, \cdots, p^{k_{r}} ; p^{l_{1}}, \cdots, p^{l_{r}}\right)
$$

- The Crystal Description generalizes the GelfandTsetlin description found for type $A_{r}$ by Brubaker, Bump, Friedberg and Hoffstein. This definition may be translated into sums over crystals. This works well sometimes, and in other cases will require refinement.
- The Chinta-Gunnells Description involves alternating sums over Weyl groups analogous to the Weyl character formula.
- The Eisenstein-Whittaker Description describes the ppart in terms of metaplectic Whittaker coefficients. In approach, the global MDS is regarded as a Whittaker coefficient of an Eisenstein series.

It is not clear at the outset that these three approaches are equivalent. However progress is being made towards unifying them.

- For the Gelfand-Tsetlin (Crystal) description Brubaker, Bump, Friedberg proved equivalence of two versions of the definition, related by the Schützenberger involution. This implies analytic continuation of the Weyl Group MDS.
- The method of Chinta and Gunnells now works in the most general case but only in certain cases are the coefficients very explicitly known. They can be computed by computer and checked to agree with other definitions.
- The third method awoke in 2008 after a long nap.
- In at least one case all three methods are known to agree.


## The Nonmetaplectic Case

When $n=1$ all three methods agree in at least two cases:

- Type A: Tokuyama + Casselman-Shalika
- Type C: Hamel and King and Beineke, Brubaker, Frechette Cartan type A or C when $n=1$ (nonmetaplectic)

- For other Cartan types, the Crystal description needs more investigation but the picture should remain valid.
- The other links are valid for all Cartan types when $n=1$.
- The underlying Lie group is the Langlands L-group.
- These Weyl Group MDS are Euler products.


## Tokuyama's formula (Type A)

- Tokuyama's formula (1988) predates crystals. It was stated in the language of Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns.
The following data are equivalent (for type A):

\section*{| Tableaux | Gelfand-Tsetlin Patterns | Vertices in Crystal Graphs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |}

We can translate Tokuyama's formula into the crystal language.

- It is clear that a Weyl character $\chi_{\lambda}$ with highest weight $\lambda$ can be expressed as a sum over the crystal graph $\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda}$. This is not Tokuyama's formula.
- Tokuyama's formula gives $\chi_{\lambda}$ as a ratio with numerator a sum over $\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho}$ where $\rho=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}} \alpha . \quad\left(\Phi_{+}=\right.$pos. roots. $)$


## Weyl Character Formula (WCF)

We return to a Lie group $G$ with maximal torus $T$. The Weyl character formula takes place in the character ring $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ of $T$. If $\mu \in \Lambda=X^{*}(T)$ is a weight, we will denote its image in this character ring as $e^{\mu}$. Thus $e^{\mu} e^{\nu}=e^{\mu+\nu}$ since we are writing the group law in $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ additively.

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{+}$be weight in the positive Weyl chamber, and let $\chi_{\lambda}$ be the character of its highest weight module. WCF asserts:

$$
\chi_{\lambda}=\frac{\sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{l(w)} w\left(e^{\lambda+\rho}\right)}{\sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{l(w)} w\left(e^{\rho}\right)} \quad(W=\text { Weyl group }) .
$$

- Tokuyama's formula gives a deformation of the numerator, which may be expressed as a sum over the crystal $\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho}$.


## Berenstein-Zelevinsky-Littelmann strings

Fix a reduced decomposition of the long Weyl group element into simple reflections.

$$
w_{0}=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{N}}, \quad s_{i}=s_{\alpha_{i}}
$$

Now place a turtle at a vertex $v$ of the crystal. The sequence

$$
i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{N}
$$

will be a code telling the turtle the order in which to follow the root operators.

- Let $k_{1}$ be the largest integer such that $F_{i_{1}}^{k_{1}}(v) \neq 0$.
- In other words, the turtle can move along the $\alpha_{i_{1} \text {-colored }}$ edge a distance of $k_{1}$ then no further.
Then the process is repeated.
- $\quad k_{2}$ is the largest integer such that $F_{i_{2}}^{k_{2}} F_{i_{1}}^{k_{1}} v \neq 0$.
- The turtle winds up at the vertex with weight $w_{0}(\lambda)$.

- The BZL string is $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots\right)$. It uniquely determines $v$.

Decorating the BZL string
Before we can state Tokuyama's theorem we must decorate the BZL string. We are in type $A_{r}$ and use the decomposition

$$
w_{0}=s_{1}\left(s_{2} s_{1}\right)\left(s_{3} s_{2} s_{1}\right) \cdots\left(s_{r} s_{r-1} \cdots s_{2} s_{1}\right)
$$

We follow Littelmann, arranging the BZL by inserting entries into a triangular array from top to bottom and right to left.

- Thus $(2,3,1)$ becomes $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}1 & & 2 \\ & 3\end{array}\right\}$.

- We will decorate certain entries by boxing or circling them:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rrr}
(0) & & 2 \\
& \boxed{3} &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Boxing

The boxing rule is quite simple to understand.

- If $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots\right)$ is the BZL, so that $F_{i_{m}}^{k_{m} \ldots F_{i_{1}}^{k_{1}}(v) \text { is the }}$ location of the turtle after $m$ moves, then we box $k_{m}$ if

$$
e_{i_{m}} f_{i_{m-1}}^{k_{m-1} \cdots f_{i_{1}}^{k_{1}}(v)=0 .}
$$

- This means the turtle travels the entire length of the root string corresponding to the $m$-th move.

- In this example, the turtle travels the entire length of the first segement (of length 2) and the last (of length 1) but not the second. So we box 2 and 1 but not 3 :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\boxed{1} & & 3 \\
& \boxed{2} &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Circling

- Littelmann proved that if the the BZL pattern is arranged in a triangle as above, the rows are nonincreasing. So

$$
a_{i 1} \geqslant a_{i 2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_{i, r+1-i} \geqslant 0
$$

for the $i$-th row $\left(a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \cdots, a_{i, r+1-i}\right)$.

- If any of these inequalities is an equality, we circle the corresponding entry. Thus if $a_{i, j}=a_{i, j+1}$ we circle $a_{i, j}$ or if the last entry vanishes we circle it.


The second row is $(2,2)$ with equality $2=2$ so we circle the first 2 :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & & 2 \\
& \boxed{1} &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Tokuyama's Theorem

We may now formulate Tokuyama's theorem in crystal terms. If $a>0$ let $g(a)=-q^{a-1}, h(a)=(q-1) q^{a-1}$. If $v \in \mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho}$ define

$$
G(v)=\prod_{a \in \operatorname{BZL}(v)} \begin{cases}q^{a} & \text { if } a \text { is circled } \\ g(a) & \text { if } z \text { is boxed } \\ h(a) & \text { if neither } \\ 0 & \text { if both. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $s_{\lambda}$ be the Schur polynomial so that if $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ has eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+1}$ then

$$
\chi_{\lambda}(g)=s_{\lambda}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r+1}\right) .
$$

Tokuyama's theorem may be stated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{-\lambda_{r}-2 \lambda_{r-1}-\ldots-r \lambda_{1}} s_{\lambda}\left(\alpha_{1}, q \alpha_{2}, q^{2} \alpha_{3}, \cdots, q^{r} \alpha_{r+1}\right)= \\
& \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho}} G(v) e^{\mathrm{wt}(v)} \\
& \prod_{i<j}\left(1-q^{i-j-1} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where wt: $\mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho} \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is the weight map to the weight lattice.

- If $q \longrightarrow 1$ all but $(r+1)$ ! terms in the numerator become zero.
- Those that survive have are those with weight $w(\lambda+\rho)$ for some $w \in W$.
- In this specialization, Tokuyama's formula becomes the Weyl character formula.


## The Gelfand-Tsetlin Description

- Brubaker, Bump, Friedberg and Hoffstein gave a description of Weyl group MDS for the $n$-fold cover of Type $A_{r}$ in terms of Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns.
- If $n=1$ then this boils down to Tokuyama's formula.
- Brubaker, Bump and Friedberg gave a proof of the functional equations of the MDS by proving a difficult combinatorial statement.
- The Chinta-Gunnells description also produces a Weyl group MDS with functional equations. Conjecturally they are equivalent but this has only been proved in certain cases.
- Eisenstein series on the $n$-fold metaplectic cover of $\mathrm{GL}_{r+1}$ give yet another Weyl group MDS. Very recently it was related to Gelfand-Tsetlin description by pushing through a formerly intractable computation (BBF).
- These are cases where Whittaker models are not unique.
- The reformulation of the Gelfand-Tsetlin description for type A is just a paraphrase but it is a very suggestive one that points the way to generalizations.
- Crystals are well adapted to Kac-Moody framework and there is good hope that Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series can be extended to this setting.
- A recent preprint of Bucur and Diaconu in function field case shows that a Weyl group multiple Dirichlet series for the affine Weyl group $D_{4}^{(1)}$ produces an object resembling the infinite Weyl-denominator, supporting this hope.


## The p-part in Type A

Let the ground field contain the $n$-th roots of unity, and define:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g(m, c)=\sum_{\substack{a \bmod c \\
(a, c)=1}}\left(\frac{a}{c}\right) \psi\left(\frac{m a}{c}\right), & \psi=\text { additive char. } \\
& \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)=\begin{array}{c}
\text { power } \\
\text { symber }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Fix $p$ and for $a>0$ let $g(a)=g\left(p^{a-1}, p^{a}\right), h(a)=g\left(p^{a}, p^{a}\right)$.

- If $n=1$ these reduce to $g(a)=-q^{a-1}, h(a)=(q-1) q^{a-1}$ as in Tokuyama's formula. Now $q=\mathbb{N} p$.

The $p$-part of the Weyl group MDS is given by exactly the same formula as in Tokuyama's recipe, multiplied by $e^{-w_{0}(\lambda)}$. It is
$\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{C}_{\lambda+\rho}} G(v) e^{\mathrm{wt}\left(v+w_{0}(\lambda)\right)}, \quad G(v)=\prod_{a \in \mathrm{BZL}(v)}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}q^{a} & \text { if } a \text { is circled, } \\ g(a) & \text { if } z \text { is boxed }, \\ h(a) & \text { if neither, } \\ 0 & \text { if both. }\end{array}\right\}$
The weight $e^{\mathrm{wt}\left(v+w_{0}(\lambda)\right)}$ may be interpreted as $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{N} p^{-2 \mu_{i} s_{i}}$ where $\mu_{i}$ is the number of steps of "color" $i$ in the turtle's walk.

- The "Weyl denominator" as in Tokuyama's formula becomes the normalizing factor.

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}}\left(1-q^{-n k_{i}(\alpha)\left(2 s_{i}-1\right)-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

where $\alpha=\sum k_{i}(\alpha) \cdot \alpha_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}=\right.$ simple roots $)$.

## Example

Let $\Phi=A_{2}$ and $\lambda=1$. Here is the $\mathfrak{C}_{\rho}$ crystal labeled with the contributions to the $p$-part.


## The General Case

- The construction depended on a decomposition of the long Weyl group element into simple reflections.
- There are several such decompositions.
- Some are better than others. Littelmann investigated the different choices and exhibited good choices for the classical root system types.
- For types $B_{r}$ and $n$ even, or types $C_{r}$ and $n$ odd, the above scheme works with only minor modifications.
- For type $B_{2}$ and $n$ odd, we have a scheme that works, but there a strange detail involving moving boxes and circles.


## A Riddle

The $B_{r}$ theory when $n=2$ is particularly striking so we will describe it. This is related to Whittaker models on the metaplectic double cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}\left(2 r, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)$. But first, a riddle.

## What is the L-group of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}\left(2 r, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ ?

- The L-group of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 r)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)$.
- Langlands did not define an L-group for metaplectic groups, but there are reasons to say that if $G=\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(n)}(2 r)$ is the $n$-th metaplectic cover, then

$$
{ }^{L} G= \begin{cases}\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1) & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\ \mathrm{Sp}(2 r) & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

- Evidence: Savin computed (affine) Iwahori Hecke algebras (IHA) of the $n$-fold covers of semisimple split groups, and the IHA of the $n$ cover of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 r)$ is isomorphic to the IHA of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 r)$ if $n$ is odd or $\operatorname{spin}(2 r+1)$ if if $n$ is even.
- Evidence: Andrianov and Zhuravlev gave Rankin-Selberg constructions that on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(n)}(2 r)$ produce a degree $2 r+1 \mathrm{~L}$ function when $n=1$ and a degree $2 r$ L-function when $n=2$.


## A Paradox

- Yet for $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}^{(2)}(2 r)$ it is "orthogonal" $B_{r}$ crystals we employ and we will encounter representations of $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1, \mathbb{C})$.
- This is most unexpected for the above reasons.
- Both representations of $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2 r, \mathbb{C})$ will appear.


## Three Descriptions

- Equivalence of the three descriptions is (nearly) proved. In preparation: Brubaker, Bump, Chinta and Gunnells. Prior work: Bump, Friedberg, Hoffstein (Duke 1991).
- In this case, Whittaker models are unique.
- Eisenstein-Whittaker description (BFH 1991) expresses the spherical Whittaker function as sum over the Weyl group of either $B_{r}=\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)$ or $C_{r}=\operatorname{Sp}(2 r)$.
- The Weyl groups are the same so take your pick.
- But the $\rho$ in the Weyl character formula tells us the computation is related to the representation theory of $\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)$, not $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)$.
- Or does it? We will come back to this point.

- The Chinta-Gunnells description is also a sum over the same Weyl group, and is equivalent to BFH 1991 formula.
- The equivalence of the Crystal description to EisensteinWhittaker description amenable to proof by induction.


## The $B_{r}$ Crystal

Here is a $B_{2}$ crystal. ( $B_{r}$ works the same way.)
$w_{0}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} s_{2}$
(red, green, red, green)


Apply root lowering operators in the order red, green, red, green, returning to the lowest weight vector in $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ steps.

$$
\mathrm{BZL}=\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
\ddots & \vdots & . & . \\
& a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right\} . \begin{gathered}
\text { Littelmann: } \\
\\
\\
\\
a_{1}
\end{gathered}, \quad \begin{gathered}
\\
2 a_{2} \geqslant a_{3} \geqslant 2 a_{4} \\
a_{1} \geqslant 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The boxing rule is as before. The circling rule:


## The $\boldsymbol{p}$-Part for Type $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$

- The $r$ axial entries (here $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ ) correspond to the short roots.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\ddots & \vdots \\
& \text { long long } & \text { short long long } \\
& \text { long } & \text { short long } \\
\text { short }
\end{array}
$$

If $\alpha=\alpha(x)$ is the root correspond to the turtle walk of length $x$ :

$$
\mathcal{G}(v)=\prod_{x \in L} \begin{cases}g_{\alpha}(x) & \text { if } x \text { is boxed but not circled } \\ h_{\alpha}(x) & \text { if } x \text { neither circled nor boxed } \\ q & \text { if } x \text { is circled but not boxed } \\ 0 & \text { if } x \text { is both circled and boxed }\end{cases}
$$

- Here $g_{\alpha}$ is a quadratic Gauss sum for the short roots but a Ramanujan sum for the long roots.
- Both can be evaluated explicitly. Assuming the ground field contains the 4 -th roots of unity,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{\alpha}(m)= \begin{cases}-q^{m-1} & \text { for long roots } \alpha, \\
-q^{m-1} & \text { for short roots } \alpha, m \text { even, } \\
q^{\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)} & \text { for short roots } \alpha, m \text { odd }\end{cases} \\
h_{\alpha}(m)= \begin{cases}(q-1) q^{m-1} & \text { for long roots } \alpha, \\
(q-1) q^{m-1} & \text { for short roots } \alpha, m \text { even }, \\
0 & \text { for short roots } \alpha, m \text { odd }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Note that square roots of $q$ appear: $g_{\alpha}(1)=\sqrt{q}$ if $\alpha$ short.


## Type $\boldsymbol{B}_{2}$

Let $\lambda$ be a dominant weight, and take the $B_{2}$ crystal with highest weight vector $\lambda+\rho$. Take the sum of

$$
\mathcal{G}(\Delta) x^{a_{2}+a_{4}} y^{a_{1}+a_{3}}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
(1-x)\left(1+q^{1 / 2} y\right)\left(1+q^{3 / 2} x y\right)\left(1-q^{2} x y^{2}\right)
$$

times a polynomial $P\left(x, y ; q^{1 / 2}\right)$ that is given by the following table ( $\varepsilon_{i}$ are the fundamental weights).

| $\lambda$ | $P_{\lambda}(x, y ; \sqrt{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |
| $\varepsilon_{1}$ | $1+q x-q^{3 / 2} x y+q^{3} x y^{2}+q^{4} x^{2} y^{2}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{2}$ | $\left(1-q^{1 / 2} y\right)\left(1-q^{3 / 2} x y\right)$ |
| $\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}$ | $(1+q x)\left(1-q^{1 / 2} y\right)\left(1-q^{3 / 2} x y\right)\left(1+q^{3} x y^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $x^{4} y^{4} q^{8}+x^{3} y^{4} q^{7}+x^{2} y^{4} q^{6}-x^{3} y^{3} q^{11 / 2}$ |
| $2 \varepsilon_{1}$ | $+x^{3} y^{2} q^{5}-x^{2} y^{3} q^{9 / 2}+2 x^{2} y^{2} q^{4}$ |
|  | $+x y^{2} q^{3}-x^{2} y q^{5 / 2}+x^{2} q^{2}$ |
|  | $-x y q^{3 / 2}+x q+1$ |
| $2 \varepsilon_{2}$ | $x^{2} y^{4} q^{6}-x^{2} y^{3} q^{9 / 2}+x^{2} y^{2} q^{4}$ |
|  | $-x y^{3} q^{7 / 2}+x y^{2} q^{3}+x y^{2} q^{2}$ |
|  | $+y^{2} q^{2}-x y q^{3 / 2}-y q^{1 / 2}+1$ |

- When we specialize $\sqrt{q} \longrightarrow-1$, these polynomials become characters of spin(5).
- Paradoxical: This is in contrast with the popular belief that the L-group of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2 r)$ is $\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)$.
- Paradoxical: Also in contrast with this popular belief is the fact that we used a type B (orthogonal) crystal.


## Type $B_{3}$

Here are the corresponding polynomials for $B_{3}$ :

| $\lambda$ | $P_{\lambda}(x, y, z, \sqrt{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |
| $\varepsilon_{1}=(1,0,0)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1+q z+q^{2} y z-q^{5 / 2} x y z \\ +q^{4} x^{2} y z+q^{5} x^{2} y^{2} z+q^{6} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{2}=(1,1,0)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1+q y-q^{3 / 2} x y+q^{3} x^{2} y \\ & +q^{4} x^{2} y^{2}+q^{2} y z-q^{5 / 2} x y z+q^{4} x^{2} y z \\ & -q^{7 / 2} x y^{2} z+q^{4} x^{2} y^{2} z+2 q^{5} x^{2} y^{2} z \\ & -q^{11 / 2} x^{3} y^{2} z+q^{6} x^{2} y^{3} z-q^{13 / 2} x^{3} y^{3} z \\ & +q^{8} x^{4} y^{3} z+q^{6} x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+q^{7} x^{2} y^{3} z^{2} \\ & -q^{15 / 2} x^{3} y^{3} z^{2}+q^{9} x^{4} y^{3} z^{2}+q^{10} x^{4} y^{4} z^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $(1-\sqrt{q} x)\left(1-q^{3 / 2} x y\right)\left(1-q^{5 / 2} x y z\right)$ |

Again, when $\sqrt{q} \longrightarrow-1$, these become the characters of irreducible representations of $\operatorname{spin}(7)$.

- The paradox is that these polynomials are defined in terms of the representation theory of $\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)$ yet their crystal interpretation involves type $B_{r}$ (orthogonal) crystals and the expressions specialize to characters of $\operatorname{Spin}(2 r+1)$ when $\sqrt{q} \longrightarrow-1$.
- We "explain" the paradox by proving that this always happens for all $r$.
- The explanation does not dispel the mystery.


## Alternator formulation (BFH 1991)

Change notation: $x_{i}=\alpha_{i} / \alpha_{i+1} . B_{r} / C_{r}$ Weyl group $W$ acts on $\mathbb{C}\left[\alpha_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and $\mathbb{C}\left[\alpha_{1}^{ \pm 1 / 2}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right]$.

The alternator is $\quad \mathcal{A}=\sum_{w \in W}(-1)^{l(w)} \cdot w$.

$$
\text { Let } \begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)} & =\mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{r} \alpha_{2}^{r-1} \cdots \alpha_{r}\right), \\
\Delta_{\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)} & =\mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{2}^{r-\frac{3}{2}} \cdots \alpha_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By WCF, if $k_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant k_{r} \geqslant 0, k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{Sp}}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}+r} \alpha_{2}^{k_{2}+r-1} \cdots \alpha_{r}^{k_{r}+1}\right)
$$

is a character of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 r, \mathbb{C})$ on the conjugacy class with eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}^{ \pm 1}$. Similarly

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right)=\frac{\mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}+r-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{2}^{k_{2}+r-\frac{3}{2}} \cdots \alpha_{r}^{k_{r}+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\Delta_{\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)}} .
$$

is a character of $\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)$ on conjugacy class with eigenvalues $\alpha_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \cdots, \alpha_{r}^{ \pm 1}, 1$. If $k_{i}$ are half-integral, this same formula would give characters of $\operatorname{spin}(2 r+1)$, but we specializing to the integral case, $P_{\lambda}(x ; \lambda)$ is

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}+r} \alpha_{2}^{k_{2}+r-1} \ldots \alpha_{r}^{k_{r}+1} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-q^{-1 / 2} \alpha_{i}^{-1}\right)\right),
$$

Expanding and using WCF it is a sum of up $2^{r}$ terms, each an irreducible character of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 r}(\mathbb{C})$.

## Paradox Explained, Mystery not Dispelled

 After $q^{1 / 2} \rightarrow-1$ specialization $P_{\lambda}$ becomes$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)}^{-1} \mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}+r} \alpha_{2}^{k_{2}+r-1} \ldots \alpha_{r}^{k_{r}+r} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1+\alpha_{i}^{-1}\right)\right)= \\
\frac{\mathcal{A}\left(\alpha_{1}^{k_{1}+r-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{2}^{k_{2}+r-\frac{3}{2}} \cdots \alpha_{r}^{k_{r}+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\alpha^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)}{\Delta_{\operatorname{Sp}(2 r)}} .
\end{array}
$$

The product inside is invariant under the Weyl group action and so we can pull it out, and using
we get

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(\alpha^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right) \frac{\Delta_{\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)}}{\Delta_{\mathrm{Sp}(2 r)}}=1
$$

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{SO}(2 r+1)}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{r}\right) .
$$

- The BFH formula will need to be extended to GSp before we see the spin characters.
- This shows that when $q^{1 / 2} \longrightarrow-1$ this "symplectic" alternating sum becomes orthogonal.
- This "explanation" of the paradox raises more questions than it answers.
- Wanted: Quantum interpretation.
- Wanted: Connection with symmetric function theory (Hall-Littlewood polynomials, etc.)

