

TWO STORIES

- (1) USUAL THEORY OF TABLEAU COMBINATORICS
ROBINSON SCHENSTED KNUTH (RSK ALGORITHM)
PLACTIC MONOID (LASCoux-SCHÜTZENBERGER)

ⓑ $[1] \rightarrow [2] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow [n]$
GENERATORS OF PLACTIC MONOID.

CRYSTALS OF TABLEAUX

LATTICE MODELS $q=0$ TERUYAMA MODEL.

- (2) ANOTHER KIND OF COMBINATORICS

EDELMAN GREENE VARIANT OF RSK.

WEYL GROUP S_n

GENERATORS D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}

MOORE-SCHILLING CRYSTALS (DECREASING FACTORIZATION)

LATTICE MODELS; PIPEDREAMS.

ELEMENTS OF PLACTIC MONOID ARE SSYT

IN $1, 2, \dots, n$

MULTIPLICATION: IF T, V ARE TABLEAUX

WE CAN CALCULATE THEIR PRODUCT IN

PLACTIC MONOID AS FOLLOWS.

SUPPOSE $T = \overline{(i)}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{matrix} i \\ n \end{matrix} =$$

$T \leftarrow i$ COMPUTED AS FOLLOWS.

IF $n \geq$ LARGEST ENTRY IN FIRST ROW PLACE IT AT END.

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 \end{matrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad 4 \quad : \quad \begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 \end{matrix}$$

OTHERWISE "SCHENSTED INSERT":

FIND LARGEST ENTRY IN FIRST ROW & LARGER THAN i AND REPLACE THE LEFTMOST INSTANCE OF k BY i ,
THE k IS THEN INSERTED INTO SECOND ROW.

$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & & \\ 4 & & & & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow k \\ \leftarrow 2 \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & & \\ 4 & & & & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow 3 \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & & \\ 4 & 4 & & & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow 4 \end{array}$

NOW ABOUT PLACTIC MONOID DEFINING

AN EQUIVALENCE RELATION ON WORDS

$$a = i_1 \otimes \dots \otimes i_k \quad i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$b = j_1 \otimes \dots \otimes j_n$$

$$a \equiv b \text{ IF IN DECOMPOSITION OF } \oplus^k \mathcal{B}$$

INTO IRREDUCIBLE CRYSTALS

$$\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A} \subset \otimes^k \mathbb{B}$$

WHICH ARE ISOMORPHIC AND

$a \in \mathcal{C}$ MAPS TO $b \in \mathcal{A}$ UNDER
THE UNIQUE ISOMORPHISM.

THEOREMS: PLACIC EQUIVALENCE AMOUNTS
TO KNUTH EQUIVALENCE

- (1) If $a < b \leq c$, then bac is Knuth equivalent to bca and vice versa.
- (2) If $a \leq b < c$, then acb is Knuth equivalent to cab and vice versa.

EXAMPLE:

$$1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 4 \leftarrow 2$$

$$1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \\ 3$$

THIS MEANS

$$1 \otimes 1 \otimes 2 \otimes 3 \otimes 3 \otimes 4 \otimes 2 \\ = 3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 2 \otimes 2 \otimes 3 \otimes 4.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IF } a < b \leq c & \quad \vec{bac} \equiv \vec{bca} \\ a \leq b < c & \quad a \overset{F}{c} b \equiv c a \overset{F}{b} \end{aligned}$$

IT CAN BE CHECKED IN $\mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{B} \otimes \mathbb{B}$

THIS AS 4 CONNECTED COMPONENTS $\hat{=}$

$$\mathbb{B}_{(3)}, \mathbb{B}_{(1,1,1)}, \quad 2 \text{ COPIES OF } \mathbb{B}_{(2,1)}$$

IN THE ISO $\mathbb{B}_{(2,1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'_{(2,1)}$

$$\boxed{b} \otimes \boxed{a} \otimes \boxed{c} \rightsquigarrow \boxed{a} \otimes \boxed{c} \otimes \boxed{b}$$

$$\text{IF } \vec{bac} \equiv \vec{bca}$$

THIS IMPLIES WE CAN PERFORM THIS

OPERATION IF \vec{bac} APPEARS IN

$\otimes^n \mathbb{B}$ FOR SOME LARGER n .

(1) If $a < b \leq c$, then bac is Knuth equivalent to bca and vice versa.

(2) If $a \leq b < c$, then acb is Knuth equivalent to cab and vice versa.

1 1 2 3 3 4 2

→
1 1 2 3 3 4 2

→
1 1 2 3 3 2 4

←
1 1 2 3 2 3 4

←
1 1 3 2 2 3 4

←
1 3 1 2 2 3 4

3 1 1 2 2 3 4

THE FACT THAT IF WORDS a, b
ARE K.E. THEY ARE PRACTICAL
EQUIV. FOLLOWS FROM A CALCULATION
IN $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$.

THIS IMPLIES THAT

$T \otimes_n \equiv$ SCHRÖDINGER INSERTION
 $T \in_n$.

THIS IMPLIES AN ALGORITHM OF
 COMPUTING $T \otimes V$

TURN V INTO ITS READING WORD.
 SUCCESSIVELY INSERT ENTRIES INTO T .

$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \\ 2 & & \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 \\ & 3 \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \\ 2 & & \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$

$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & & \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 \end{array}$

↑

$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & & \end{array} \leftarrow 3$

$$\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array} \circlearrowleft \} \equiv \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & 2 & 3 \end{array} .$$

SO I HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION
TO COMPUTE $T \circ U$ I.E. FIND
A TABLEAU EQUIVALENT.

EDLMAN CREATES IN SECTION SIMILAR.

I'LL USE IT TO PROVE STANLEY'S HM
COUNTING THE NUMBER OF REDUCED
WORDS FOR S_n .

TABLEAUX WHOSE READING WORDS
ARE REDUCED WORDS FOR ELEMENTS
OF S_n

$$\text{FOR } S_3 = \langle \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle$$

$$W_0 = 121 = 212$$

1 2 \rightsquigarrow 1 EDMAN GARDNER

FIND THE LARGEST ENTRY $\geq i$

THAT ENTRY IS BUMPED TO THE

NEXT ROW EXCEPT:

INSTEAD OF REPLACING IT IS

IS UNCHANGED.

$$12 \rightsquigarrow 1 = \begin{matrix} 12 \\ 2 \end{matrix} = 212$$

THIS ALWAYS WORKS:

IF THE READING FOR T IS W
REDUCED AND

$w \cdot \Delta_i$ IS STILL REDUCED

$w \rightsquigarrow i$ IS ANOTHER REDUCED WORD
FOR $U \Delta_i$

$$(a_1, a_2) \cdot \Delta_1$$

$$12 \rightsquigarrow 1 = \begin{matrix} 12 \\ 2 \end{matrix}$$