## Homework 5 Solutions

## May 27, 2022

**Problem 1:** Section 9 (page 45) #8. Compute the root strings in  $G_2$  to verify the relation  $r - q = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ .

**Note:** The notation  $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$  is introduced on page 42 of Humphreys. It means

$$\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = \beta(h_{\alpha}) = (\alpha^{\vee}, \beta)$$

where  $\alpha^{\vee} = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ . Note that if we identify  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  using the inner product (, ), then  $\alpha^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is really the same as  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Either one is called a *coroot*.

**Solution.** Let  $\alpha_1$  be the short simple root and  $\alpha_2$  the long simple root of  $G_2$ . Thus the roots are  $\{\pm \alpha_1, \pm \alpha_2, \pm (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm (3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm (3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)\}$ . We will only compute the root strings for  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . This is sufficient to verify the relation  $r - q = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$  because the Weyl group action permutes the roots and root strings, and can map any root  $\alpha$  in  $G_2$  to either  $\alpha_1$  or  $\alpha_2$ . We may take

$$\alpha_1 = (0, 1, -1), \qquad \alpha_2 = (1, -2, 1)$$

embedded in  $\{(t_1, t_2, t_3) | \sum t_i = 0\}$ . The restriction of the usual inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to this hyperplane

β	$\alpha_1$ -root string	r	q	$\langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle$	$\langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle = r - q$
$\alpha_1$	$\{-\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1\}$	2	0	2	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	0	3	-3	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + \alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	1	2	-1	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	2	1	1	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	3	0	3	$\checkmark$
$2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$\{2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3\}$	0	0	0	$\checkmark$
$-\alpha_1$	$\{-\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_1\}$	0	2	-2	$\checkmark$
$-\alpha_2$	$\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	3	0	3	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2+\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	2	1	1	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	1	2	-1	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2+3\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, -3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	0	3	-3	$\checkmark$
$-(2\alpha_2+3\alpha_1)$	$\{-2\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_3\}$	0	0	0	$\checkmark$

First let us consider the  $\alpha_1$  root strings.

Next here are the  $\alpha_2$  root strings:

$\beta$	$\alpha_2$ -root string	r	q	$\langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle$	$\langle \beta, \alpha_1 \rangle = r - q$
$\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$	0	1	-1	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2$	$\{\alpha_2, 0, -\alpha_2\}$	2	0	2	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + \alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1\}$	1	0	1	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1\}$	0	0	0	$\checkmark$
$\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	0	1	-1	$\checkmark$
$2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$\{\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1\}$	1	0	-1	$\checkmark$
$-\alpha_1$	$\{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	1	0	1	$\checkmark$
$-\alpha_2$	$\{\alpha_2, 0, -\alpha_2\}$	0	2	-2	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2+\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}$	0	1	-1	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2+2\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1\}$	0	0	0	$\checkmark$
$-(\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_1, -2\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_1\}$	1	0	1	$\checkmark$
$-(2\alpha_2+3\alpha_1)$	$\{-\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_1, -2\alpha_2 - 3\alpha_1\}$	0	1	-1	$\checkmark$

**Problem 2:** Section 10 (page 54) #4. Verify the Corollary of Lemma 10.2A directly for  $G_2$ .

The Corollary in question states:

**Corollary 1.** Every  $\beta \in \Phi^+$  can be written in the form  $\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ , not necessarily distinct, in such a way that each partial sum  $\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_i$  is a root.

We will modify the notation of the corollary by using  $\alpha_i$  to denote the simple roots. (For Humphreys,  $\Delta$  is the set of simple roots.)

Let us start by writing the highest root  $3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$  as

$$(\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2).$$

Now we note that the sum of every initial segment of this sum is a positive root. This verifies the assertion for  $\beta = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ . But in fact it verifies it for all positive roots, since we can write any positive root  $\beta$  as one of these partial sums, so an initial segment of the sequence  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1\}$  will solve the problem for  $\beta$ .

**Problem 3:** Section 10 (page 54) #6. Define a function  $\operatorname{sn} : W \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by  $\operatorname{sn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}$ . Prove that sn is a homomorphism.

**Solution.** By Lemma A in Section 10.3  $\ell(\sigma) = n(\sigma)$  where  $n(\sigma)$  is number of  $\beta \in \Phi^+$  such that  $\sigma(\beta) \in \Phi^-$ . Thus

$$\ell(\sigma) = |\Phi^- \cap \sigma(\Phi^+)|. \tag{1}$$

Now

Lemma 1. We have

$$\ell(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = \begin{cases} \ell(\sigma) + 1 & \text{if } \sigma(\beta) \in \Phi^+, \\ \ell(\sigma) - 1 & \text{if } \sigma(\beta) \in \Phi^-. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\sigma_{\beta}(\Phi)^{+} = \Phi^{+} \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\beta\}$  by Lemma B in Section 10.2, since the simple reflection  $\sigma_{\beta}$  interchanges  $\beta \in \Phi^{+}$  and  $-\beta \in \Phi^{-}$ , but otherwise permutes the positive roots. Using (1),  $\ell(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = |\Phi^{-} \cap \sigma(\Phi^{+} \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\beta\})|$ , or

$$\ell(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = |\Phi^{-} \cap (\sigma\Phi^{+} \setminus \{\sigma(\beta)\} \cup \{-\sigma(\beta)\})|$$

If  $\sigma(\beta) \in \Phi^+$  this means

$$\ell(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = |(\Phi^{-} \cap \sigma\Phi^{+}) \cup \{-\sigma(\beta)\}| = \ell(\sigma) + 1.$$

On the other hand if  $\sigma(\beta) \in \Phi^-$ ,

$$\ell(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = |(\Phi^{-} \cap \sigma\Phi^{+}) \setminus \{\sigma(\beta)\}| = \ell(\sigma) - 1.$$

-	-	-	-	

In both cases  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\sigma_{\beta}) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ . Therefore if  $\sigma$  is written as a product of k simple reflections,  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^k$ . From this it is clear that  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)$ .

**Problem 4:** Section 12 (page 68) #5. In constructing  $C_{\ell}$  would it be correct to characterize  $\Phi$  as the set of all vectors in I of squared length 2 or 4? Explain.

**Solution.** This is correct if  $\ell \leq 3$ . However if  $\ell \geq 4$ , it fails because we have vectors such as (1, 1, 1, 1) in  $I = \mathbb{Z}^4$  which has length squared equal to 4, but is not a root.

**Problem 5:** Section 13 (page 71) #2. Show by example (e.g., for  $A_2$ ) that  $\lambda \notin \Lambda^+$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta, \lambda - \alpha \in \Lambda^+$  is possible.

**Solution.** We can always take  $\lambda = \alpha$  so that  $\lambda - \alpha = 0$  is a dominant weight, because only for type  $A_1$  are the simple roots themselves dominant.

**Problem 6:** Section 13 (page 71) #9. Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ . Show that  $\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  is dominant only for  $\sigma = 1$ . (I am writing  $\rho$  for half the sum of the positive roots instead of  $\delta$ .)

**Solution:** We will deduce this from Lemma A in Section 13.2, on page 68. Assume that  $\lambda$  is dominant and  $\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$  is dominant. Then  $\lambda + \rho$  and  $\sigma(\lambda + \rho)$  are both strongly dominant. Therefore the two parts to the Lemma show that  $\lambda + \rho = \sigma(\lambda + \rho)$  (since both are dominant elements of the same Weyl group orbit, and that  $\sigma = 1$  (since  $\lambda + \rho$  is strongly dominant).