

# Homework 3 Solutions

October 18, 2016

1. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $F$ . A linear transformation  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  is called *semisimple* if whenever  $W$  is an  $T$ -invariant subspace (that is  $T(W) \subseteq W$ ) there exists a complementary  $T$ -invariant subspace  $W'$ . Here *complementary* means that  $V = W \oplus W'$ .

(a) If  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$ , let  $V(f)$  be the subspace killed by a power of  $f(T)$ . Prove that  $V$  is the direct sum of the  $V(f)$  as  $f$  runs over the irreducibles. Explain what this result has to do with the structure theory of finitely generated modules over a PID.

**Remark:** if  $F$  is algebraically closed, the irreducibles all have the form  $f(x) = x - \lambda$  with  $\lambda \in F$ , and the  $V(f)$  are called the *generalized eigenspaces*.

(b) Prove that  $T$  is semisimple if and only if its minimal polynomial  $m_T$  has no repeated irreducible factor.

(c) Let  $n = \dim(V)$ . Prove that  $T^N = 0$  for some  $N \geq 1$  if and only if  $T^n = 0$ . In this case  $T$  is *nilpotent*. Prove that with respect to some basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  the matrix of  $T$  is upper triangular, and indeed  $Tv_i = v_{i-1}$  or  $Tv_i = 0$ .

(d) (**Jordan canonical form**) If  $\lambda \in F$  the  $k \times k$  *Jordan block* is the matrix

$$J_k(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Use (c) to show that over an algebraically closed field  $T$  may be written as a direct sum of Jordan blocks.

(e) (**Jordan decomposition.**) The linear transformation  $T$  is *unipotent* if  $T - I_V$  is nilpotent. Assume that  $F$  is algebraically closed. Show that  $T$  may

be written *uniquely* as  $T_s T_u$  where  $T_s$  and  $T_u$  commute, with  $T_s$  semisimple and  $T_u$  unipotent.

**Solution:** (a) We make  $V$  into a module over the polynomial ring  $F[X]$  by letting  $f \in F[X]$  act on vectors via  $T$ :

$$f \cdot v = f(T)v, \quad v \in V.$$

This is a torsion module, since  $V$  is finite dimensional. It is obviously finitely generated. Therefore we may invoke Theorem 7.5 on page 149 of Lang. It gives a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{f \in F[V] \text{ irreducible}} V(f), \quad V(f) = \{v \in V \mid f^N(T)v = 0, N \text{ large}\}.$$

(See the definition of  $E(p)$  on page 149 of Lang.) This is exactly (a).

To prove (b) it will be useful to have the following simple but important fact, which is proved later in Lang's *Algebra*. Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is called *simple* if it is nonzero but has no proper nontrivial submodules. If  $R$  is a PID then it follows from the structure theory that every simple module is of the form  $R/(f)$  where  $f$  is irreducible.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $M$  be a module over a ring  $R$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *If  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$  then there exists a submodule  $N'$  such that  $M = N \oplus N'$ .*
- (2)  *$M$  is a direct sum of simple modules.*

A module with either of these equivalent properties is called *semisimple*. Condition (1) is sometimes called *complete reducibility*.

**Proof** See Section 17.2 on page 645 of Lang for a proof. Assume that of this equivalence.  $\square$

Making  $V$  into an  $F[X]$ -module as above, from criterion (1),  $V$  is semisimple as a module if and only if  $T$  is semisimple as an endomorphism. As before, we use Theorem 7.5 on page 149, write

$$V = \bigoplus_{f_i \in F[V] \text{ irreducible}} V(f_i), \quad V(f_i) \cong \bigoplus_j R/f_i^{N_{ij}}.$$

The power of  $f_i$  that appears in the minimal polynomial is  $\max_j(N_{ij})$ , so no  $f_i$  appears with multiplicity greater than one if and only if all  $N_{ij} = 1$  and this is also the condition for semisimplicity in condition (2).

[The next two exercises are from the end of Lang, Chapter 2, page 115.]

2. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of the commutative ring  $A$ . Let  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . Observe that  $S$  is a multiplicative set and consider  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}A$ . Show that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a unique maximal ideal.

**Solution.** First we remind the reader about local rings. A ring is *local* if it has a unique maximal ideal.

**Lemma 1** *If  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an ideal, then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathfrak{m}$  to be the unique maximal ideal of  $R$  is  $R - \mathfrak{m}$  consists of the set of all units of  $R$ .*

**Proof** An element  $\varepsilon \in R$  is a nonunit if and only if  $R\varepsilon$  is a proper ideal, that is, if and only if  $\varepsilon$  is contained in a maximal ideal. So if  $R - \mathfrak{m}$  consists of units, then every maximal ideal of  $R$  must be contained in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , implying that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the unique maximal ideal. The converse is similar.  $\square$

Consider  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{p/s | p \in \mathfrak{p}, s \in S\}$ . This is an ideal, with the property that its complement consists of units; indeed, if  $a/s \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not in  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  then  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $a \in S$  and therefore its inverse  $s/a$  is in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We see that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  consists of units, and the statement follows from the Lemma.

3. Show that if  $A$  is a principal ideal domain and  $S$  is a multiplicative set then  $S^{-1}A$  is a principal ideal domain.

**Solution.** We identify  $S^{-1}A$  with a subring of the field of fractions of  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an ideal of  $S^{-1}A$  and let  $\mathfrak{a} = A \cap \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of  $A$ , so  $\mathfrak{a} = Ax$  for some  $x$  because  $A$  is principal. Now clearly  $S^{-1}A \cdot x \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . Conversely if  $a/s \in \mathfrak{A}$  then  $a = s \cdot (a/s) \in \mathfrak{A} \cap A$  and therefore  $a = bx$  for some  $b$ . Thus  $a/s = (b/s)x \in S^{-1}A \cdot x$ . We have proved that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is principal generated by  $x$ .

4. Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{2}\}$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  where  $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{-5}$ . Conclude that  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal ideal domain with one nonzero prime ideal.

**Solution.** The ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is clearly generated by  $\alpha$  and 2. We have  $2 = \frac{1}{3}\alpha\bar{\alpha} \in \alpha A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since 3 is a unit in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2A_{\mathfrak{p}} + \alpha A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \alpha A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

It remains to be shown that the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a principal ideal domain. If this ring has nonprincipal ideals, then since it is Noetherian we can find an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  that is maximal among the nonprincipal ideals. If  $\mathfrak{a} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  then obviously  $\mathfrak{a}$  is principal, so  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper. Since  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  we have  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and therefore  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Because  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is a submodule of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  it is an ideal.

We will show that  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is strictly larger than  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We have  $\alpha\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  since  $\alpha \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and so  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . If it is not strictly larger than  $\mathfrak{a}$  then  $\alpha^{-1}$  is integral over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by the condition Int 3 on page 334. This means that we have an integral equation:

$$\alpha^{-N} + c_{N-1}\alpha^{-(N-1)} + \cdots + c_0 = 0, \quad c_i \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

That means that  $\alpha^{-1} = -(c_{N-1} + c_{N-2}\alpha + \cdots + c_0\alpha^N) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . This is impossible since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  lies in the maximal ideal, hence cannot be a unit.

Now  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is strictly larger than  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and by maximality of  $\mathfrak{a}$  this ideal is principal, say  $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = (\beta)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha\beta)$  is principal, which is a contradiction.

A principal ideal domain that is local, i.e. has only one nonzero prime ideal is called a *discrete valuation ring* (DVR), an important class of rings. This example illustrates the fact that localizing a Dedekind domain gives a DVR.