

# Homework 2 Solutions

Dedekind rings are introduced in Lang on page 88. Noetherian rings are discussed in Chapters 4 and 10. We will only need the definitions of both.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. We recall that  $R$  is called a *domain* if  $xy = 0$  for  $x, y \in R$  implies that  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ . A ring  $R$  is a domain if and only if it can be embedded in a field. If  $F$  is a field containing  $R$ , then  $F$  is called the *field of fractions* of  $R$  if every element of  $F$  is of the form  $x/y$  with  $x, y \in R$  and  $y \neq 0$ . The field of fractions is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. We will prove all these facts when we consider localization. But for example, the field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

If  $R$  is a domain and  $F$  its field of fractions, then a *fractional ideal*  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $R$  is a nonzero, finitely-generated  $R$ -submodule of  $F$ . We can multiply fractional ideals:  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$  is the additive subgroup of  $F$  generated by elements  $ab$  with  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ . It is a fractional ideal.

A commutative ring  $R$  is called *Noetherian* if every ascending chain of ideals terminates. That is, if  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_3 \subseteq \dots$  are ideals, then eventually  $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a}_{n+1} = \mathfrak{a}_{n+2} = \dots$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

1. (a) Prove that a principal ideal domain is Noetherian.  
(b) Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is Noetherian.

**Solution:** (a) If  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_3 \subseteq \dots$  is a chain of ideals of the principal ideal domain  $R$ , then  $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcup \mathfrak{a}_i$  is an ideal. Indeed, to see that it is closed under addition, note that if  $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$  then  $x \in \mathfrak{a}_i$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{a}_j$  for some  $i, j$ . Without loss of generality,  $i \leq j$ , so  $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}_j$  and so  $x + y \in \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . (It is also clear that  $R\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .) Since  $R$  is a principal ideal domain,  $\mathfrak{a} = R\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_k$  then  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_k$  proving the ascending chain condition.

(b) Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sqrt{-5}$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Noetherian, every submodule of this finitely generated module is finitely generated. Thus  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is Noetherian as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, *a fortiori* as an  $R$ -module. **Alternative:** Use the Hilbert Basis Theorem (Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1) to see that the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is Noetherian. Let  $p: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  be the map  $f(X) \mapsto f(\sqrt{-5})$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots$  is an ascending chain of ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  then  $p^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_1) \subseteq p^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_2) \subseteq \dots$  is an ascending chain of ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , hence it must terminate. This implies that the chain  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots$  terminates.

2. Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian domain.

(a) Prove that a nonzero  $R$ -submodule of  $F$  is a fractional ideal if and only if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq cR$  for some  $c \in F$ .

- (b) Prove that a principal ideal domain is a Dedekind ring.

**Solution:** (a) First assume that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional ideal. By hypothesis it is finitely generated. Let  $a_i/b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be the generators, and let  $b = \prod b_i$  be the common denominator. The  $b\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  so  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq cR$  with  $c = 1/b$ . Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq cR$  then  $c^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal, finitely generated since  $R$  is Noetherian. Since  $\mathfrak{a} \cong c^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  as an  $R$ -module it is also finitely generated.

(b) Let  $F$  be the field of fractions of the principal ideal domain  $R$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Every fractional ideal of the principal ideal domain is of the form  $dR$  for some  $d \in F^\times$ .*

**Proof.** By part (a), a fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is contained in  $cR$  for  $c \in F$ . Write  $c = a/b$  with  $a, b \in R$ . Then  $b\mathfrak{a} \subseteq aR$ , so  $b\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal. Thus  $b\mathfrak{a} = tR$  for some  $t \in R$  because  $R$  is a PID. Thus  $\mathfrak{a} = dR$  with  $d = t/a$ .  $\square$

Now a fractional ideal is invertible since  $d^{-1}R$  will serve as an inverse to  $dR$ . The set  $\mathfrak{P}$  of fractional ideals thus forms a group. Since  $d \mapsto dR$  is a homomorphism from  $F^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$  which is surjective (by the Lemma) with kernel  $R^\times$ , the multiplicative group of  $R$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{P} \cong F^\times / R^\times$ .

### 3. An example of an ideal in a Dedekind ring that is not principal.

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . It may be shown that  $R$  is a Dedekind ring. Let

$$I = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b \pmod{2}\}.$$

The exercise will show that this ideal is not principal.

(a) Let  $R^* = R - \{0\}$  and  $I^* = I - \{0\}$ . (Some authors use  $R^*$  for the multiplicative group of units of a ring  $R$ , but I will use  $R^\times$  for that.) Observe that if  $N: R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the map  $N(z) = |z|^2$ , so  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$ , then  $N(zw) = N(z)N(w)$ . So  $N(R^*)$  and  $N(I^*)$  are multiplicative monoids contained in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Show that the smallest two nonzero elements of  $N(I^*)$  are 4 and 6, while the smallest nonzero elements of  $N(R^*)$  are 1 and 4.

(b) Use (a) to show that  $I$  is not a principal ideal.

(c) Prove that  $I$  is a maximal ideal. (Hint: what is the index  $[R: I]$  as abelian groups?)

(d) To prove that  $R$  is a Dedekind domain we need to know that every fractional ideal is invertible. We will not prove this right now, but show that  $I^2 = 2R$  and deduce that  $I$  is invertible.

**Solution.** (a) Since  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$  we have  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) > 4$  if  $b \neq 0$ . Therefore  $1 = N(1)$  and  $4 = N(2)$  are the smallest possible norms of elements of  $R$ . On the other hand if  $a + b\sqrt{-5}$  is known to be in  $I$  then  $a$  and  $b$  are either both even or both odd, so 1 is not a norm; the smallest norms in this case are  $4 = N(2)$  and  $6 = N(1 + \sqrt{-5})$ .

(b) If the ideal  $I$  were principal, say  $I = R\alpha$ , then the norms of elements of  $I$  would be  $A = N(\alpha)$  times the norms of elements of  $R$ , and the two smallest norms would be  $A$  and  $4A$ . No choice of  $A$  makes these into 4 and 6.

(c) Observe that  $I$  has index two in  $R$ . Indeed if  $x \notin I$  then  $x - 1 \in I$  and so  $R/I$  has only two cosets. If  $J$  is an ideal between  $I$  and  $R$ , that is  $R \supset J \supset I$  then  $2 = [R: I] = [R: J][J: I]$  so either  $[R: J] = 1$  or  $[J: I] = 1$ , i.e.  $J = R$  or  $I$ . This shows that  $I$  is maximal. **Alternative:** Since  $[R: I] = 2$ , the quotient ring  $R/I$  has only two elements and is clearly a field. Since  $R/I$  is a field,  $I$  is maximal.

(d) Note that  $2 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}) - 2 \cdot 2 \in I \cdot I$ . Therefore  $2R \subseteq I^2$ . On the other hand,  $I^2$  is generated by elements of the form

$$(a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5}) = A + B\sqrt{-5}, \quad A = ac - 5bd, \quad B = ac + bd$$

where  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{2}$ . We claim that  $A$  and  $B$  are both even. If  $a$  and  $b$  are both even or if  $c$  and  $d$  are both even, this is obvious, so assume  $a, b, c, d$  are all odd. Then  $ac$  and  $5bd$  are odd so  $A$  is even, and similarly  $B$ . This proves the converse inequality  $I^2 \subset 2R$ .

**4. An example of an ideal that is projective but not free.** Notations will be as in the last problem.

(a) Prove that  $I$  is not a free module.

(b) Define  $f: R \oplus R \rightarrow I \oplus I$  be multiplication by the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{-5} & 2 \\ 2 & 1 - \sqrt{-5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that  $f$  is an isomorphism  $R \oplus R \rightarrow I \oplus I$ . Conclude that  $I$  is a projective module.

**Solution.** For (a), note that any two elements  $x, y$  of  $I$  cannot be linearly independent over  $R$  since their ratio lies in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  of fractions, so  $x/y = \alpha/\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  and so  $\alpha x - \beta y = 0$ . This means that if  $I$  is free, it is free of rank one. Thus as an ideal, it is principal, contradicting Problem 3.

(b) Since the entries of  $M$  are in  $I$ , the map  $f$  takes  $R \oplus R$  into  $I \oplus I$ . As for the inverse map,

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{-5} & -2 \\ -2 & 1 + \sqrt{-5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the coefficients are in  $\frac{1}{2}I$ . So applying this to  $I \oplus I$  produces elements of  $\frac{1}{2}I^2 \oplus \frac{1}{2}I^2 = R \oplus R$  by Problem 3(d). Hence  $f: I \oplus I \rightarrow R \oplus R$  is a bijection. We see that  $I \oplus I$  is free. Since it is a summand in a free module, it is projective.