

# Lecture 11. Wedderburn's Theorem (II)

Daniel Bump

May 12, 2020

## Review: semisimple rings

We are proving Wedderburn's theorem for semisimple algebras over a field  $F$ . Recall that if  $F$  is a field an  $F$ -algebra is a ring that contains  $F$  as a subring of its center. The  $F$ -algebra  $R$  is **semisimple** if it is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $F$  and for every  $R$ -module  $M$  with a submodule  $N$  there is a complementary submodule  $P$  of  $M$  such that  $M = N \oplus P$ .

For example if  $F$  is a field whose characteristic is not a prime dividing  $|G|$ , the group algebra  $F[G]$  is a semisimple  $F$ -module by Maschke's theorem.

## Review: Wedderburn's theorem

Wedderburn's theorem (in a simplified version) asserts that if  $R$  is a semisimple algebra over  $F$  then  $R$  is a direct sum of matrix rings over division algebra:

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \text{Mat}_{d_i}(D_i).$$

Here  $D_i$  is a division algebra over  $F$ . If  $F$  is algebraically closed, then  $D_i = F$ , so

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \text{Mat}_{d_i}(F).$$

## Review: our story so far

On Thursday, May 7, we proved that if  $R$  is a semisimple ring, then  $R$  has a finite number  $M_1, \dots, M_h$  of simple left-modules. Let  $R_i$  be the sum of all simple left ideals isomorphic to  $M_i$ . Then  $R_i$  is a two-sided ideal and

$$M = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_h.$$

This means that every element  $x \in R$  may be written uniquely as  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_h$  with  $x_i \in R_i$ . Applying this to 1, write  $1 = \sum e_i$  with  $e_i \in R_i$ . The  $e_i$  are **central orthogonal idempotents**. Thus  $e_i^2 = e_i$ ,  $e_i e_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $e_i$  is in the center of  $R$ .

$R_i$  is a ring with unit element  $e_i$  and  $R_i = e_i R_i = R_i e_i$ .

## Simple rings

Let us call an  $F$ -algebra  $R$  **simple** if it is semisimple and has a unique isomorphism class of simple modules.

For example, let  $D$  be a division algebra,  $M = D^n$ . We will let  $D^{\text{op}}$  be the **opposite** division algebra, with the multiplication inverted. Thus the underlying set of  $D^{\text{op}}$  is  $D$  but if  $a, b \in D^{\text{op}}$  the product of  $a$  and  $b$  is  $ba$ . The endomorphism ring of  $D^n$  is  $\text{Mat}_n(D^{\text{op}})$ , acting on  $D^n$  as usual by matrix multiplication. However we use right multiplication: if  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in D^n$  and  $f \in \text{Mat}_n(D)$  we define  $f(v) = vf$  (matrix multiplication) so that  $f(dv) = df(v)$ . Because we are putting the matrix on the right, the order of multiplication is backwards and this is why we get  $\text{Mat}_n(D)^{\text{op}} \cong \text{Mat}_n(D^{\text{op}})$ .

## The ideals $R_i$ in a semisimple ring are simple

In our analysis of semisimple algebras, each  $R_i$  is a simple ring. To see this, suppose that  $M$  is a simple  $R_i$ -module. Using the surjective projection homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_i$ , the module  $M$  becomes an  $R$ -module, and it is easy to see that it is simple, so it is one of the  $M_j$ . It cannot be  $M_j$  for  $j \neq i$  because  $e_i x = 0$  for  $x \in M_j$ , which is a contradiction since  $e_i$  is the identity element in  $R_i$ . Therefore  $M \cong M_i$ .

## Faithful modules

A module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  is **faithful** if  $aM = 0$  for  $a \in R$  implies that  $a = 0$ .

### Lemma

*Let  $R$  be a simple  $F$ -algebra and  $M$  a simple  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is faithful.*

Indeed, since  $R$  is semisimple, it is a finite direct sum of simple modules. Each is isomorphic to  $M$ , so  $R \cong M^d$  for some  $d$ . This if  $aM = 0$  then  $aR = 0$ . This implies that  $a = a \cdot 1 \in aR = 0$ .

## The commuting ring

### Definition

Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -vector space, and  $R \subseteq \text{End}_F(V)$  a subring. The **commuting ring**  $S$  of  $R$  is

$$\{f \in \text{End}_F(V) \mid fr = rf \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

Let  $R$  be a finite-dimensional  $F$ -algebra, and  $M$  a faithful  $R$ -module. We may embed  $R \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$  by identifying  $a \in R$  with the linear transformation  $x \mapsto ax$ . This homomorphism is injective, so may **identify**  $R$  with its image in  $\text{End}_F(M)$ .

## $\text{End}_R(M)$ is the commuting ring of $R$

With  $R$  identified with a subring of  $\text{End}_F(M)$ , let us consider  $\text{End}_R(M)$ , which is also a subring of  $\text{End}_F(M)$ . What does it mean for  $f \in \text{End}_F(M)$  to be an  $R$ -module endomorphism? It means, of course that

$$f(rx) = rf(x)$$

for  $r \in R$ . But since we are identifying  $R$  with its image in  $\text{End}_F(M)$ , this identity means that  $f$  and  $r$  commute as linear transformations of  $M$ . Thus  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is the commuting ring of  $R$ .

## The commuting ring of the commuting ring

Now suppose that  $R$  is a simple ring,  $M$  its simple module. As  $M$  is faithful, we may identify  $R \subseteq \text{End}_F(M)$  and  $D = \text{End}_R(M)$  as subrings of  $\text{End}_F(M)$ . As we have noted,  $D$  is a division ring by Schur's Lemma. Also,  $D$  is the commuting ring of  $R$ .

But then what is the commuting ring of  $D$ ?

In other words, since  $D$  is a subring of  $\text{End}_F(M)$ ,  $M$  may be regarded as a  $D$ -module, and the question is to determine  $\text{End}_D(M)$ .

## Wedderburn's theorem

Clearly since  $D$  is the commuting ring of  $R$ ,  $R$  is contained in the commuting ring of  $D$ , that is,  $R \subseteq \text{End}_D(M)$ . But indeed they are equal. We will prove:

### Theorem (Wedderburn)

*Let  $R$  be a simple  $F$ -algebra with  $M$  a simple left module. Let  $D = \text{End}_R(M)$ , a division algebra by Schur's Lemma. Then  $R = \text{Mat}_D(M)$ .*

This means that a simple ring is a matrix ring over a division algebra. Moreover if  $F$  is algebraically closed then  $D = F$ , so a simple algebra over an algebraically closed field is a matrix ring.

## Endomorphism rings as matrix rings

We will follow Lang's [Algebra](#) in our discussion of the Jacobson density theorem.

### Proposition

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  then

$$\text{End}_R(M^n) \cong \text{Mat}_n(S)$$

If  $\theta = (\theta_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_n(S)$  then we obtain an endomorphism of  $M^n$  by

$$\theta \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j \theta_{1j}(x_j) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_j \theta_{nj}(x_j) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see this  $\theta \in \text{End}_R(M^n)$ . Moreover it is not hard to show that every endomorphism of  $\text{End}_R(M^n)$  is of this type.

## The Jacobson density theorem

### Theorem (Jacobson density theorem)

*Let  $R$  be a semisimple  $F$ -algebra, and  $M$  an  $R$ -module that is finite-dimensional as an  $F$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\phi \in \text{End}_F(M)$  has the property that  $\phi\theta = \theta\phi$  for every  $\theta \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $rx = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ .*

First let us show that the result is true when  $n = 1$ : that is, we show if  $x \in M$  then we may find  $r \in R$  such that  $rx = \phi(x)$ .

Consider  $Rx$ , a submodule of  $M$ . Since  $R$  is semisimple, we may find a submodule  $P$  such that  $M = Rx \oplus P$ . Let  $\theta : M \rightarrow Rx$  be the projection map defined by  $\theta(m + p) = m$  for  $m \in Rx, p \in P$ .

This is an  $R$ -module homomorphism so it commutes with  $\phi$  by assumption. Now  $\theta(x) = x$  and so  $\phi(x) = \phi\theta(x) = \theta\phi(x)$  is in the image of  $\theta$ , which is  $Rx$ . Since  $\phi(x) \in Rx$ , we have proved the assertion in the case  $n = 1$ .

## The general case

To prove the general case, let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be an  $F$ -basis of  $M$ . Define a new  $R$ -module  $M' = M^n$ . Define  $\phi' \in \text{End}_F(M')$  by

$$\phi' \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(t_1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(t_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From our explicit realization of  $\text{End}_R(M)$  in terms of matrices  $\theta = (\theta_{ij})$  with  $\theta_{ij}$  in  $S$ , since  $\phi$  commutes with  $\theta_{ij}$ , it is easy to check that  $\phi'$  commutes with  $\theta$ . Now let

$$x' = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in M'.$$

## Proof concluded

By the special case we have just considered, there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $rx' = \phi'(x')$ . This means

$$\begin{pmatrix} rx_1 \\ \vdots \\ rx_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

so  $rx_i = \phi(x_i)$ . Since the  $x_i$  span  $M$ , it follows that  $rx = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ .

## Wedderburn's theorem

As a special case where  $R$  is simple, we obtain Wedderburn's Theorem.

### Theorem (Wedderburn)

*Let  $R$  be a simple  $F$ -algebra with  $M$  a simple left module. Let  $D = \text{End}_R(M)$ , a division algebra by Schur's Lemma. Then  $R = \text{Mat}_D(M)$ .*

Since  $M$  is faithful we can identify  $R$  with a subring of  $\text{End}_F(M)$ . The commuting ring of  $R$  is  $D$ , and by the Jacobson density theorem, the commuting ring of  $D$  is  $R$ , that is,  $R = \text{Mat}_D(M)$ .

## Recap

Now let us assume that  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . The simple representations  $M_1, \dots, M_h$  of  $G$  correspond to the simple modules of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . Let  $d_i$  be the dimension of  $M_i$ . Then

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h R_i.$$

Each  $R_i$  is a simple ring with  $M_i$  as its simple module.  $\text{End}_{R_i}(M_i)$  is a division algebra and since  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed,  $\text{End}_{R_i}(M_i) = \mathbb{C}$ . By Wedderburn's theorem  $R_i = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_i) \cong \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus:

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

## The center of $\mathbb{C}[G]$

### Proposition

*The dimension of the center of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  equals the number of conjugacy classes.*

Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$$

is central if and only if the function  $a_g$  is constant on conjugacy classes, so the dimension of the center equals the number of class functions.

## The number of irreducibles

### Theorem

*The number  $h$  of irreducible representations of  $G$  equals the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .*

To prove this, we note that the center of  $\text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$  is one-dimensional, so the dimension of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  equals the number of irreducibles. The statement follows by comparison with our previous result.