

# Lecture 10. Wedderburn's Theorem (I)

Daniel Bump

May 12, 2020

## The structure of $\mathbb{C}[G]$

In our last lecture we proved that if  $d_i$  are the degrees of the irreducible representations of  $G$  then

$$|G| = \sum_i d_i^2.$$

Our proof made use of the character of the regular representation and Schur orthogonality.

A different approach to this result is to prove the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_i \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The identity  $|G| = \sum d_i^2$  then follows by computing the dimensions of both sides.

## Semisimple rings

The identity

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_i \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a consequence of [Wedderburn's theorem](#), a structure theorem for semisimple rings. Dummit and Foote relegate the proof of Wedderburn's theorem to the exercises. But a much better treatment may be found in Lang's [Algebra](#), in the chapter called [Semisimplicity](#). I strongly recommend this account.

## Semisimplicity simplified

I will present a simplified discussion that is suitable for group algebras  $F[G]$  where  $F$  can be a field of characteristic zero (such as  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

### Definition

A semisimple algebra over the field  $F$  is an algebra  $R$  that is finite-dimensional as an  $F$ -vector space, such that if  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $N$  a submodule, there exists a complementary submodule  $P$  such that  $M = N \oplus P$ .

For example, a group algebra  $F[G]$  is a semisimple algebra if the characteristic of  $F$  is not a prime dividing  $|G|$ , by Maschke's theorem.

## Decomposition into simple modules

Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -algebra. If  $M$  is a module over  $R$  it is a vector space over  $F$ . We will say it is **finite-dimensional** if it is finite-dimensional over  $F$ .

### Proposition

*Let  $R$  be a semisimple algebra and let  $M$  be a module that is finite-dimensional. Then  $M$  is direct sum of simple modules.*

**Note:** the assumption that  $M$  is finite-dimensional is unnecessary here. See Lang's [Algebra](#) Section XVII.2.

## Proof

To prove this, we may assume that  $M \neq 0$ . Then let  $N$  be a nonzero submodule of smallest dimension. Clearly  $N$  has no proper, nonzero submodules, so it is simple. By assumption,  $M = N \oplus P$  and by induction on dimension,  $P$  is a direct sum of simple modules. So, therefore is  $M$ .

**Wedderburn's theorem** implies that a semisimple algebra  $R$  is a direct sum of matrix rings over division algebras over  $F$ . If  $F$  is algebraically closed, then any division algebra over  $F$  is just  $F$ , so this means that a semisimple algebra over an algebraically closed field  $F$  is just a direct sum of matrix rings over  $F$  itself.

## Direct sum of rings

Let us pause to consider a general ring that is a direct sum of other rings.

(**Note:** it is actually more correct to this as a direct product but we will use the term direct sum.)

Let

$$R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_h$$

with componentwise addition and multiplication.

## Peirce Decomposition

The identity element 1 has a decomposition

$$1 = (e_1, \dots, e_h)$$

where  $e_i$  is the identity element of  $R_i$ . But we will identify  $R_i$  with its image in  $R$  and write

$$1 = e_1 + \dots + e_h.$$

The ring  $R_i$  becomes a **two-sided ideal** in  $R$  and

$$R_i = e_i R = R e_i = e_i R e_i.$$

A decomposition associated with a family of idempotents (more general than this) is sometimes called a **Peirce decomposition**.

- **Peirce decomposition (Wikipedia)**, web link



## Central orthogonal idempotents

Since the injection  $R_i \rightarrow R$  does not map the identity element  $e_i$  of  $R$  to 1, we do not call  $R_i$  a subring of  $R$ . However it is a two-sided ideal. The elements  $e_i$  satisfy

$$e_i^2 = e_i, \quad e_i e_j = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Moreover  $e_i$  is in the center of  $R$ . Thus the  $e_i$  are **central** idempotents, and we express the fact that  $e_i e_j = e_j e_i = 0$  by saying that the idempotents are **orthogonal**. Conversely:

### Proposition

*Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_h$  with central orthogonal idempotents  $e_i$ . Then  $R_i = Re_i = e_i R$  is a 2-sided ideal, and*

$$R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_h.$$

## Proof

We check that every element  $x$  of  $R$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum x_i$  with  $x_i \in R_i$ . First, there is such a decomposition since  $x = x \cdot 1 = \sum x \cdot e_i$  where  $x_i = xe_i \in R_i$ . To show the decomposition is unique, if  $x = \sum x_i$  with  $x_i \in Re_i$  then we may use the property

$$x_i e_j = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

to show that  $x_i = xe_i$ .

## A useful vanishing property

Let  $R$  be a semisimple algebra.

### Proposition

*Let  $M$  be a simple module and  $L$  a simple left ideal. Then either  $M \cong L$  or  $LM = 0$ .*

Here  $LM$  is the submodule of  $M$  consisting of finite sums

$$l_1 m_1 + \dots + l_k m_k, \quad l_i \in L, m_i \in M.$$

To prove this assume  $LM \neq 0$ . Pick  $m \in M$  such that  $Lm \neq 0$  and consider the map  $\phi : L \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\phi(x) = xm$ . It is easy to see that this is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, and by assumption it is not the zero map. By Schur's Lemma, it is an isomorphism.

## Finite number of isomorphism classes

### Proposition

*$R$  has only a finite number of isomorphism classes of simple modules.*

To prove this, using the semisimplicity of  $R$  we may write

$$R = L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_m$$

where  $L_i$  is a simple submodule (left ideal). We may write  $1 = \sum l_i$  with  $l_i \in L_i$ . Then if  $M$  is a simple module,  $1 \cdot M \neq 0$  so  $l_i \cdot M \neq 0$  for some  $l_i$ . This implies that  $M \cong L_i$ . Now it is clear that there are at most  $m$  classes of simple modules.

## The two-sided ideals $R_i$

Now let  $M_1, \dots, M_h$  be representatives of the distinct simple modules. We define  $R_i$  to be the sum of all left ideals of  $R$  isomorphic to  $M_i$ .

### Proposition

*$R_i$  is a two-sided ideal.*

Indeed  $R_i$  is a sum of left ideals, so it is a left ideal. We must show that it is closed under right multiplication. It is enough to show that if  $L$  is a left ideal isomorphic to  $M_i$ , and  $r \in R$ , then  $Lr \subseteq R_i$ . There are two cases. If  $Lr = 0$ , this is obvious. Otherwise, the map  $x \mapsto xr$  is a homomorphism  $L \rightarrow Lr$  that is not the zero map, so it is an isomorphism by Schur's Lemma. This means that  $Lr$  is a left ideal isomorphic to  $M_i$  and so  $Lr \subseteq R_i$ . This proves that  $R_i$  is a right ideal as well as a left ideal.

## Orthogonality of the $R_i$

### Proposition

*If  $i \neq j$  then  $R_i M_j = 0$  and  $R_i R_j = 0$ .*

This is because if  $M$  is a simple module and  $L$  a simple left ideal then either  $M \cong L$  or  $LM = 0$ . Now  $R_i$  is a sum of ideals isomorphic to  $M_i$  and  $M_j \not\cong M_i$ , so  $R_i M_j = 0$ . Also  $R_j$  is a sum of ideals to  $M_j$ , so implies  $R_i R_j = 0$ .

Introducing the  $e_i$ **Proposition**

We may write  $1 = e_i + \cdots + e_j$  with  $e_i \in R_i$ . If  $m \in M_j$  then

$$e_i m = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

To prove this, note that  $R$  is a direct sum of simple ideals, each of which is contained in some  $R_i$ . So  $R = R_1 + \cdots + R_h$ . (We have **not** yet proved that this sum is direct.) We may therefore write  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_h$  with  $e_i \in R_i$ . Now  $e_i m = 0$  if  $m \in M_j$  with  $j \neq i$  since  $R_i M_j = 0$ . Thus  $m = 1 \cdot m = \sum e_i \cdot m = e_j m$  since all but one term is zero. This proves that  $e_i m = m$  if  $i = j$ .

## The $e_i$ are orthogonal idempotents

### Proposition

If  $x \in R_j$  then

$$e_i x = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $e_i^2 = e_i$  while  $e_i e_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Indeed,  $R_i$  is a sum of left ideals isomorphic to  $M_i$ . We have proved that left multiplication by  $e_i$  acts as the identity on  $M_i$ , so it acts as the identity on  $R_i$ . On the other hand,  $R_i R_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , so  $e_i x = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .



## The $e_i$ are **central** orthogonal idempotents

### Proposition

*The  $e_i$  are central orthogonal idempotents and*

$$R_i = e_i R = R e_i.$$

*We have*

$$R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_h.$$

First let us show that the sum  $R = R_1 + \cdots + R_h$  is direct. We must show that if  $x_i \in R_i$  and  $x_1 + \cdots + x_h = 0$  then each  $x_i = 0$ . We have

$$0 = \sum_j e_j x_j = x_i$$

by our last Proposition. This proves that  $R = \bigoplus R_i$ .

## Proof (continued)

We have already proved that the  $e_i$  are orthogonal idempotents, but we need to prove they are central. It is enough to show that  $e_i x = x e_i$  if  $x \in R_j$ . Both are zero if  $i \neq j$ , so we have only to show that  $x e_i = x$  when  $i = j$ . We have

$$x = x \cdot 1 = \sum x \cdot e_i = x e_j$$

since  $x e_i = 0$  when  $i \neq j$ . This proves that  $e_i$  are central orthogonal idempotents.

The idempotent  $e_i$  serves as identity element in the ideal  $R_i$ , which then becomes a ring.

## The $R_i$ are rings but not subrings

We have made a lot of progress towards proving Wedderburn's theorem. Let us say that a ring is **simple** if it is semisimple and has a unique isomorphism class of simple left modules. We have proved that a semisimple algebra  $R$  decomposes

$$R = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_h$$

where  $R_i$  is a two-sided ideal that is itself a ring with unit  $e_i$ .

We have noted that the ideals  $R_i$  are rings (with unit  $e_i$ ). We do not consider the injection  $R_i \rightarrow R$  to be a ring homomorphism because it does not take the multiplicative identity element  $e_i$  to 1. However the projection  $R \rightarrow R_i$  is a ring homomorphism.

## $R_i$ is a simple ring

### Proposition

*$R_i$  is a simple ring.*

We must show that  $R_i$  has a unique simple isomorphism class of simple modules. If  $M$  is a simple  $R_i$ -module, then by means of the surjection  $R \rightarrow R_i$  we may consider  $M$  to be a module for  $R$ . If  $M$  is simple as an  $R_i$ -module, it is simple as an  $R$ -module, so  $M \cong M_j$  for some  $j$ . Moreover  $e_i \rightarrow e_i$  in the projection  $R \rightarrow R_i$ , so  $e_i$  acts as the identity on  $M$ , which tells us that  $M \cong M_j$ . We have proved that  $R_i$  has a unique class of simple modules, and it is easy to see that it is semisimple since  $R$  is, and so  $R$  is a simple ring.

## Wedderburn's theorem

### Theorem (Wedderburn)

*A simple ring is a matrix ring over a division ring.*

Of course if  $R$  is a simple ring that is a finite-dimensional algebra over a field  $F$ , the division ring is itself a division algebra. So if we prove this theorem of Wedderburn, we have proved that every semisimple algebra is a direct sum of matrix rings over division algebras.

**We will prove Wedderburn's theorem next week.**

## Division algebras over algebraically closed fields

### Proposition

*Moreover if  $D$  is a finite-dimensional division algebra over an algebraically closed field  $F$ , then  $D = F$ .*

Indeed, if  $x \in D$  then the powers of  $x$  are linearly dependent, proving that  $x$  satisfies an algebraic relation over  $F$ ; hence the  $F$  algebra  $F[x]$  is a finite-dimensional field extension of  $F$ , but  $x$  is algebraically closed so  $x \in F$ . Therefore  $D = F$ .

So a simple algebra over an algebraically closed field is just a matrix ring. Note that the unique simple module of  $\text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{C})$  is just  $\mathbb{C}^d$

## Application to representations

Thus Wedderburn's theorem implies that

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \text{Mat}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

for some  $d_i$ . The simple modules of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  are the same as the irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the  $d_i$  are their dimensions. Thus comparing the dimensions, we get another proof that

$$|G| = \sum_{i=1}^h d_i^2.$$