MATH 120: HOMEWORK 6 SOLUTIONS

- Section 4.3 # 28,34
- Section 4.4 # 2,13
- Section 4.5 # 13,25
- Section 7.4 # 37
- Section 7.5 # 3

Problem 4.3 #28. Let p and q be distinct primes with p < q. Prove that a nonabelian group G of order pq has a nonnormal subgroup of index q, so there exists an injective homomorphism $G \longrightarrow S_q$. Deduce that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the normalizer in S_q of the cyclic group generated by the q-cycle $(1, 2, \dots, q)$.

Solution. By Cauchy's theorem G has element x and y of orders p and q, respectively. Let P and Q be the cyclic subgroups they generate. Then Q is normal by Corollary 5 on page 120. We claim that P is not normal. If it is, then $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = x(yxy^{-1})^{-1}$ is a product of two elements of P, so it is in P; while $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = (xyx^{-1})y^{-1}$ is a product of two elements of Q so it is in Q. This means that $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in P \cap Q = 1$ so x and y commute. However x and y generate G since the order of the group they generate has order a multiple of both p and q, so $\langle x, y \rangle = G$. If x and y commute then G is abelian, which is a contradiction. This proves that P is not normal.

Now G acts on the set X of left cosets of P by left multiplication. Denote these x_1P, \dots, x_qP . We have a homomorphism $\theta: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bij}(X)$, where $\operatorname{Bij}(X) \cong S_q$ is the set of bijections of X. We claim that θ is injective. If $k \in \ker(\theta)$ then $x_iP = \theta(k)x_iP = kx_iP$ for all x_i , so $x_i^{-1}kx_iP = P$ and $x_i^{-1}kx_i \in P$. This implies that $k \in \bigcap x_iPx_i^{-1}$. Since P is not normal, this intersection is 1 implying that k = 1 and therefore θ is injective.

Because θ is injective we may identify G with its image in S_q . The only elements of order q in S_q are q-cycles, so $\theta(y)$ is a q-cycle. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\theta(y) = (1, 2, \dots, q)$. Then Q is identified with $\langle (1, 2, \dots, q) \rangle$. Since Q is normal, the image of G is contained in the normalizer of this cyclic subgroup, as required.

Problem 4.3 # **34.** Prove that if p is a prime and P is a subgroup of S_p of order p then $|N_{S_p}(P)| = p(p-1)$. [Argue that every conjugate of P contains exactly p-1 p-cycles and use the formula for the number of p-cycles to compute the index of $N_{S_p}(P)$ in S_p .]

Solution. Let $P_1 = P, P_2 \cdots, P_h$ be the subgroups of S_p of order p. Each of these subgroups is cyclic of order p, and is generated by a p-cycle. They are all conjugate.

Let $P_i^* = P_i - \{1\}$. Then P_i^* are clearly disjoint, and their union is the set of all *p*-cycles. Since $|P_i^*| = p - 1$ this means that (p - 1)h is the total number of *p*-cycles in S_p . To count these another way, every *p*-cycle can be written $(1ab \cdots z)$ where a, b, \cdots, z are $2, 3, \cdots, p$ in some order. There are (p - 1)! possibilities. Thus (p - 1)h = (p - 1)! so h = (p - 2)!.

Now h is the number of conjugates of $P = P_1$, that is $[S_p : N_G(P)] = (p-2)!$. Now

$$|N_{S_p}(P)| = \frac{|S_p|}{[S_p : N_{S_p}(P)]} = \frac{p!}{(p-2)!} = p(p-1).$$

Problem 4.4 # 2. Prove that if G is an abelian group of order pq, where p and q are distinct primes then G is cyclic.

Solution. By Cauchy's theorem, G has elements x and y of order p and q respectively. Let z = xy. We will show that z generates G. First note that $z^q = x^q y^q = x^q$. Since x has order p and $p \nmid q$, x^q has order p. Similarly z^p has order q. The order of z must therefore be a multiple of both p and q, in other words, a multiple of pq. By Lagrange's theorem, the order of z divides |G| = pq, so pq is exacctly the order of z. Thus z is a generator of G and G is cyclic.

Problem 4.4 # 13. Let G be a group of order 203. Prove that if G has a normal subgroup H of order 7 then $H \subseteq Z(G)$.

Solution. We have $203 = 7 \cdot 29$. We are assuming that H has order 7 and is normal. We then have a homomorphism $\phi: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ which is the action by conjugation. In other words, $\phi(g)$ is the automorphism $c_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ defined by $c_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$. Now $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ has order 6 by Proposition 16 on page 135 of Dummit and Foote. Therefore the image of ϕ is a subgroup of an order 6 that is isomorphic to $G/\ker(\phi)$; so its order divides both 6 and 203. Since 6 and 203 are coprime, this means that ϕ is the trivial map, so c_g is the identity automorphism of H for all g. That is, $gxg^{-1} = c_g(x) = x$ for all $x \in H$ and $g \in G$. Therefore H is contained in the center of G.

Problem 4.5 # 13. Prove that a group of order 56 has a normal *p*-Sylow subgroup for some prime p dividing 56.

Solution. Suppose that |G| = 56. The 7-Sylow has either 1 or 8 conjugates, since the number of 7-Sylows is $\equiv 1 \mod 7$ and divides 56. Thus either the 7-Sylow is normal or it has 8 conjugates P_1, \dots, P_8 . Each P_i contains 6 elements of order 7, and these are all distinct. So G has $8 \cdot 6 = 48$ elements of order 7. Now let Q be a 2-Sylow, so |Q| = 8. There are precisely 8 elements that are *not* of order 7, so

 $Q = \{g \in G | g \text{ does not have order } 7\}.$

From this we see that the elements of Q are permuted by conjugation, so $hQh^{-1} = Q$ for all h, and Q is normal.

Problem 4.5 # 25. Prove that if G is a group of order 385 then Z(G) contains a 7-Sylow subgroup and an 11-Sylow subgroup is normal in G.

Solution. Since $385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$, the number of 7-Sylows divides 55 and is $\equiv 1 \mod 7$; therefore the 7-Sylow P is normal. Also the number of 11-Sylows divides 35 and is $\equiv 1 \mod 11$, so the 11-Sylow is also normal. But we have to show that the 7-Sylow is central. This is somewhat similar to We have a homomorphism $\theta : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ in which $\theta(g)$ is conjugation by P. The image is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$, which has order 6, which is isomorphic to $G/\ker(\theta)$; hence it has order dividing both 6 and 386. Since these are coprime, θ is trivial, meaning that $\theta(g) = 1_P$ for all P. Thus if $x \in P$ we have $gxg^{-1} = \theta(g)x = x$, and so P is central.

Problem 7.4 #37. A commutative ring R is called a *local ring* if it has a unique maximal ideal. Prove that if R is a local ring with maximal ideal M then every element of R - M is a unit. Prove conversely that if R is a commutative ring with unit such that the nonunits of R form an ideal, then R is a local ring with a unique maximal ideal M.

Solution. Let R be local with maximal ideal M. We will show that M is the set of non-units in R. If $x \in M$ then $Rx \subseteq M$ so $1 \notin Rx$, meaning that x is a nonunit. On the other hand, suppose that x is a non-unit. Then Rx is a proper ideal of R. By Proposition 11 on page 254 of Dummit and Foote, it is contained in a maximal ideal. Since R has a unique maximal ideal $M, Rx \subseteq M$. Therefore $x \in M$. We have proved that M is the set of nonunits.

If R is a commutative ring such that the nonunits form an ideal M, we are asked to show that R is local. First let us check that M is maximal. If I is any ideal such that $M \subseteq I$, then either I = M or I contains an element $x \notin M$. Thus x is a nonunit and so $R = Rx \subset RI = I$. Hence M is maximal. To see that it is the unique maximal ideal, suppose that M' is another maximal ideal. Then since M' is proper, M' consists of nonunits, so $M' \subseteq M$; since M is maximal, M' = M.

Here is an example of a local ring: let

$$R = \{a/b \in \mathbb{Q} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \text{ odd}\}$$

It is easy to see that R is closed under addition and multiplication, so it is a ring. It is local, with maximal ideal

$$M = \{a/b | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \text{ even, } b \text{ odd.} \}$$

Problem 7.5 # 3. Let F be a field. Prove that F contains a unique smallest subfield F_0 and that F_0 is isomorphic to either \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for some prime p.

Solution. In Exercise 7.3 #26 we constructed a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F$ such that $\varphi(1) = 1$. Let \mathfrak{p} be the kernel of φ . Since $\varphi(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subring of a field, it is an integral domain. By the first isomorphism theorem, $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\mathfrak{p}$, and therefore \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal. The prime ideals of \mathbb{Z} are (0), and (p) where p is a prime integer. There are thus 2 cases.

First, suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Then φ is injective, by Corollary 16 on page 263 of Dummit and Foote, the smallest field F_0 of F that contains $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the field of fractions \mathbb{Q} of \mathbb{Z} . Any subfield of F contains 1, hence the image of φ , and so F_0 is the smallest subfield of F.

If $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$, then $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ is already a field, and it is a subfield of F. This is the field F_0 in this case. Since any subfield of F contains 1, it contains $\varphi(\mathbb{Z})$, and so F_0 is the smallest subfield of F.